| CUID           | Corporate Parenting Scorecard  |              |                  |                |                              |                    |                    |          |          |          |  |
|----------------|--|--------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| Ref            |  | Polarity     | Stat<br>Neigh Av | National<br>Av | 2021-22<br>yr end<br>outturn |                    | Q1 22-23           | Q2 22-23 | Q3 22-23 | Q4 22-23 |  |
| Gener          | al   |              |                  |                |                              |                    |                    |          |          |          |  |
| G1             | Number of cared for children   |              | 626              | 80850          | 522                          | 522                | 522                |          |          |          |  |
| G2             | Rate per 10,000 cared for children   |              | 60               | 67             | 67                           | 67                 | 67                 |          |          |          |  |
| G3             | Number of care leavers (aged 16-21)  |              |                  |                |                              | 248                | 279                |          |          |          |  |
| <b>Priorit</b> | y 1 - We will care for our Children and Young People as a  | ny good pa   | rent wou         | ıld.           |                              |                    |                    |          |          |          |  |
| P1.1           | % cared for children reviews in timescales   | High is Good |                  |                | 90%                          | 90%                | 94%                |          |          |          |  |
| P1.2           | % of children and young people involved in their reviews   | High is Good |                  |                | 99%                          | 99%                | 95%                |          |          |          |  |
| <b>Priorit</b> | y 2 - Improved Education, Employment and Training outc   | omes         |                  |                |                              |                    |                    |          |          |          |  |
| P2.1           | Number of 16-18 year olds in care that are NEET (monthly)  | Low is Good  |                  |                |                              | 8                  | 7                  |          |          |          |  |
| P2.2           | Number of 16-18 year old care leavers that are NEET  | Low is Good  |                  |                |                              | 9                  | 13                 |          |          |          |  |
| P2.3           | Number of care leavers accessing higher education (University)   | High is Good |                  |                |                              | 18                 | 19                 |          |          |          |  |
| P2.4           | Number of Cheshire East care leavers in apprenticeships (18+)  | High is Good |                  |                |                              | 7                  | 8                  |          |          |          |  |
| P2.5           | % 19-21 year care leavers that are NEET (as per national reporting)  | Low is Good  | 47%              | 48%            | 41%                          | 41%                | 46%                |          |          |          |  |
| P2.6           | Speak to Laura Rogerson re PEP and virtual school suitable indicators  |              |                  |                |                              |                    |                    |          |          |          |  |
| <b>Priorit</b> | y 3 - We will work to give all children and young people a   | forever ho   | me and k         | ceep ther      | n safe                       |                    |                    |          |          |          |  |
| P3.1           | Number of cared for children in external foster care   | Low is Good  |                  |                |                              | 135                | 138                |          |          |          |  |
| P3.2           | Number of cared for children in internal foster care   | High is Good |                  |                |                              | 120                | 123                |          |          |          |  |
| P3.3           | Number of children in care living with relatives and friends (inc reg 24)  |              |                  |                |                              | 79                 | 79                 |          |          |          |  |
| P3.4           | Number of children placed with parents   |              |                  |                |                              | 53                 | 60                 |          |          |          |  |
| P3.5           | Number of children and young people in residential care  | Low is Good  |                  |                |                              | 31                 | 36                 |          |          |          |  |
| P3.6           | Reduction of children in residential care (quarter calculation based on above)   |              |                  |                |                              | N/A                | Increase of 5      |          |          |          |  |
| P3.7           | % of commissioned residential care provision occupied (currently 6 beds)   | High is Good |                  |                |                              | 50%                | 67%                |          |          |          |  |
| P3.8           | Number of individuals with 3 or more placements (rolling 12mth figure)   | Low is Good  |                  |                |                              | 61                 | 64                 |          |          |          |  |
| P3.9           | % cared for children in care for at least 2.5yrs at the end of the period and living in their current placement for at least 2 years | High is Good | 70%              | 70%            | 70%                          | 70%                | 67%                |          |          |          |  |
| P3.10          | Number of cared for children placed over 20 miles from home address (Cheshire East and out of borough)                               | Low is Good  |                  |                |                              | 123                | 122                |          |          |          |  |
| P3.11          | Number of children living out of borough   | Low is Good  |                  |                |                              | 206                | 214                |          |          |          |  |
| P3.12          | Number of cared for children who have been missing in the quarter  | Low is Good  |                  |                |                              | 33                 | 35                 |          |          |          |  |
| P3.13          | Number of children who have a long term matched placement  | High is Good |                  |                |                              | 132                | 133                |          |          |          |  |
| P3.14          | Number of placement moves in the quarter   | Low is Good  |                  |                |                              | 79 (67 individuals | 66 (52 individuals |          |          |          |  |
| P3.15          | % of currently cared for children who have had a C&F assessment in the last 12 mths  | High is Good |                  |                |                              |                    | 84%                |          |          |          |  |
| P3.16          | % of Cared for Children in care for more that 1 mth who have had a review in the last 6 mths   | High is Good |                  |                |                              |                    | 91.6%              |          |          |          |  |
| P3.17          | % of children ceased to be looked after due to granting of special guardianship order (SGO) - year to date figure                    | High is Good | 13%              | 14%            | 11.3%                        | 11.3%              | 8%                 |          |          |          |  |
| P3.18          | % of children ceased to be looked after due to adoption - year to date figure  | High is Good | 12%              | 10%            | 9.3%                         | 9.3%               | 11%                |          |          |          |  |

| Corporate Parenting Scorecard                              |  |              |                  |                |                              |              |               |          |          |          |
|--|--|--------------|------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Ref  |  | Polarity     | Stat<br>Neigh Av | National<br>Av | 2021-22<br>yr end<br>outturn | Q4 21-22     | Q1 22-23      | Q2 22-23 | Q3 22-23 | Q4 22-23 |
| P3.19  | Number of children with an adoption decision   |              |                  |                |                              | 25           | 33            |          |          |          |
| P3.20  | Average number of days between entering care and moving in with adoptive family (A10 national indicator) - yearly figure | Low is Good  |                  | 376            |                              | 611          | 589           |          |          |          |
| P3.21  | Average number of days between placement order and match with adoptive family (A2 national indicator) - yearly figure    | Low is Good  |                  | 185            |                              | 212          | 219           |          |          |          |
| Priority 4 - We will Improve Health and Wellbeing Outcomes |  |              |                  |                |                              |              |               |          |          |          |
| P4.1   | % of initial health assessments requested within 48 hours of coming into care  | High is Good |                  |                |                              | 84%          | 71%           |          |          |          |
| P4.2   | % of initial health assessments completed by paediatricians within 20 working days                                       | High is Good |                  |                |                              | 69%          | 68%           |          |          |          |
| P4.3   | % of review health assessments completed (year to date figure)   | High is Good | 89%              | 91%            | 80%                          | 80%          | 80%           |          |          |          |
| P4.4   | % with annual dental check   | High is Good | 43%              | 40%            | 51%                          | 51%          | 5%            |          |          |          |
| P4.5   | % of 4-16 in care for 3 months or more with a completed SDQ score in the last 12 mths                                    | High is Good |                  |                |                              |              | 45%           |          |          |          |
| P4.6   | % of young people with a SDQ score of 20 or above  | Low is Good  |                  |                |                              | 30%          | 29%           |          |          |          |
| P4.7   | Number of care leavers with a health passport  | High is Good |                  |                |                              | 12/15<br>80% | 14/14<br>100% |          |          |          |
| Priority   | Priority 5 - We will prepare young people for Adulthood  |              |                  |                |                              |              |               |          |          |          |
| P5.1   | % of care leavers aged 16-21 with an up to date pathway plan in the last 6 mths  | High is Good |                  |                |                              |              | 81%           |          |          |          |
| P5.2   | % of pathway plan reviews completed in timescales in the quarter   | High is Good |                  |                |                              | 72%          | 53%           |          |          |          |
| P5.3   | % of pathway plan reviews completed in the quarter where Young persons view were not sent/ gained                        | Low is Good  |                  |                |                              | 0%           | 38%           |          |          |          |
| P5.4   | % of former relevant care leaver aged 18-21 that we are in touch with  | High is Good |                  |                |                              |              | 98%           |          |          |          |
| P5.5   | % of 16-17 years old currently cared for with a PA allocated   | High is Good |                  |                |                              |              | 53%           |          |          |          |
| P5.6   | % of 17-18 former revelant care leavers in suitable accommodation  | High is Good | 92%              | 91%            |                              | 96%          | 89%           |          |          |          |
| P5.7   | % of 19-21 former relevant care leavers in suitable accommodation  | High is Good | 89%              | 88%            |                              | 99%          | 96%           |          |          |          |
| P5.8   | Number current UASC being cared for  |              |                  |                | 21                           | 21           | 24%           |          |          |          |
| P5.9   | Number current/ former UASC care leavers (aged 18-24)  |              |                  |                |                              |              | 55            |          |          |          |
| P5.10  | Number of individuals in staying put arrangement   |              |                  |                |                              | 38           | 38            |          |          |          |